arXiv:1411.7233v1 [hep-ph] 26 Nov 2014

SNSN-323-63
November 27, 2014

Standard Model updates and new physics analysis with the Unitarity Triangle fit

A. Bevan[a], M. Bona[a,1], M. Ciuchini[b], D. Derkach[c], E. Franco[d], V. Lubicz[e], G. Martinelli[d,f], F. Parodi[g], M. Pierini[h], C. Schiavi[g], L. Silvestrini[d], V. Sordini[i], A. Stocchi[j], C. Tarantino[e], and V. Vagnoni[k]

UT*fit* Collaboration

[a] *Queen Mary University of London*
[b] *INFN, Sezione di Roma Tre*
[c] *University of Oxford*
[d] *INFN, Sezione di Roma*
[e] *INFN, Sezione di Roma Tre, and Università di Roma Tre*
[f] *SISSA-ISAS*
[g] *Università di Genova and INFN*
[h] *California Institute of Technology*
[i] *IPNL-IN2P3 Lyon*
[j] *IN2P3-CNRS et Université de Paris-Sud*
[k] *INFN, Sezione di Bologna*

We present here the update of the Unitarity Triangle (UT) analysis performed by the **UT***fit* Collaboration within the Standard Model (SM) and beyond. Continuously updated flavour results contribute to improving the precision of several constraints and through the global fit of the CKM parameters and the SM predictions. We also extend the UT analysis to investigate new physics (NP) effects on $\Delta F = 2$ processes. Finally, based on the NP constraints, we derive upper bounds on the coefficients of the most general $\Delta F = 2$ effective Hamiltonian. These upper bounds can be translated into lower bounds on the scale of NP that contributes to these low-energy effective interactions.

PRESENTED AT

8th International Workshop on the CKM Unitarity Triangle (CKM 2014), Vienna, Austria, September 8-12, 2014

[1] Speaker

1 Introduction

One of the main tasks of Flavor Physics is an accurate determination of the parameters of the Cabibbo-Kobayashi-Maskawa (CKM) matrix. It represents a crucial test of the SM and, moreover, improving the accuracy on the CKM parameters is at the heart of many searches for NP, where small NP effects are looked for.

2 Unitarity Triangle Analysis in the SM

The Unitarity Triangle (UT) analysis presented here is performed by the **UT***fit* Collaboration following the method described in Refs [1, 2]. From a Bayesian global fit the CKM parameters $\overline{\rho}$ and $\overline{\eta}$ from the Wolfenstein parameterisation are obtained exploiting a plethora of flavour measurements, both theoretical and experimental. The basic constraints are: $|V_{ub}/V_{cb}|$ from semileptonic B decays, Δm_d and Δm_s from $B^0_{d,s}$ oscillations, ε_K from K mixing, α from charmless hadronic B decays, γ from charm hadronic B decays, and $\sin 2\beta$ from $B^0 \to J/\psi K^0$ decays. The complete set of numerical values used as inputs can be found at the URL `http://www.utfit.org` *. The experimental measurements are mostly taken from Ref. [3], while the non-perturbative QCD parameters come from the most recent lattice QCD averages [4].

Consider the angle α of the CKM triangle: it is extracted from charmless hadronic B decays with the method described in [5]. For this report, we updated the α extraction with the latest input values, in particular using the $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ BR with the new average $(1.15 \pm 0.41)\ 10^{-6}$, including the latest Belle result [6]. The left plot in Figure 1 shows the effect on the $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ BR values on the α p.d.f., while the middle plot combines the results from the analyses with the other charmless hadronic B decays. This combination gives $\alpha = (92.2 \pm 6.2)^\circ$.

Using the above inputs and our Bayesian framework, we perform the global fit to extract the CKM matrix parameters $\overline{\rho}$ and $\overline{\eta}$: we obtain $\overline{\rho} = 0.137 \pm 0.022$ and $\overline{\eta} = 0.349 \pm 0.014$. The right plot in Figure 1 shows the result of the SM fit on the $\overline{\rho}$-$\overline{\eta}$ plane.

With the default global fit, it is interesting to extract the **UT***fit* predictions for SM observables. The BR($B \to \tau\nu$) is found to be $(0.81 \pm 0.07) \cdot 10^{-4}$ in agreement at the level of $\sim 1.4\sigma$ with the experimental measurement of BR($B \to \tau\nu$) $= (1.67 \pm 0.30) \cdot 10^{-4}$ [3]. The BR($B_s \to \mu\mu$) is found to be $(3.88 \pm 0.15) \cdot 10^{-9}$, while BR($B^0 \to \mu\mu$) is $(1.13 \pm 0.07) \cdot 10^{-10}$ to be compared with the recent results by CMS and LHCb Collaborations (BR($B_s \to \mu\mu$) $= (2.8^{+0.7}_{-0.6}) \times 10^{-9}$ and BR($B^0 \to \mu\mu$) $= (3.9^{+1.6}_{-1.4}) \cdot 10^{-10}$ [7]).

*The results presented here are an update on the "Summer 2014" analysis and they will appear in the web-page as "Winter 2015".



Figure 1: *Left*: angle α (or ϕ_2) extracted from the $\pi\pi$ isospin analysis with different values of the $B \to \pi^0\pi^0$ BR. *Middle*: angle α extracted from the combination of the different charmless B decay systems. Both plots show the one-dimensional p.d.f. for the given variable. *Right*: $\overline{\rho} - \overline{\eta}$ plane showing the result of the SM fit. The black contours display the 68% and 95% probability regions selected by the given global fit. The 95% probability regions selected by the single constraints are also shown.

3 Beyond the SM: Unitarity Triangle Analysis in presence of New Physics

We perform a full analysis of the UT reinterpreting the experimental observables including possible model-independent NP contributions. The possible NP effects considered in the analysis are those entering neutral meson mixing ($\Delta F = 2$ transitions) and they can be parameterised in a model-independent way as:

$$C_{B_q} e^{2i\phi_{B_q}} = \frac{\langle B_q | H_{\rm eff}^{\rm full} | \overline{B}_q \rangle}{\langle B_q | H_{\rm eff}^{\rm SM} | \overline{B}_q \rangle} = \left(1 + \frac{A_q^{\rm NP}}{A_q^{\rm SM}} e^{2i(\phi_q^{\rm NP} - \phi_q^{\rm SM})}\right)$$

where in the SM $C_{B_{d,s}} = 1$ and $\phi_{B_{d,s}} = 0$, or equivalently $A_q^{\rm NP} = 0$ and $\phi_q^{\rm NP} = 0$. In addition, $H_{\rm eff}^{\rm SM}$ is the SM $\Delta F = 2$ effective Hamiltonian, $H_{\rm eff}^{\rm full}$ is its extension in a general NP model, and $q = d$ or s.

The following experimental inputs are added to the fit to extract information on the B_s system: the semileptonic asymmetry in B_s decays, the di-muon charge asymmetry, the B_s lifetime from flavour-specific final states, and CP-violating phase and the decay-width difference for B_s mesons from the time-dependent angular analyses of $B_s \to J/\psi\phi$ decays.

From the full NP analysis, the global fit selects a region of the $(\overline{\rho}, \overline{\eta})$ plane (left plot in Figure 2, with $\overline{\rho} = 0.154 \pm 0.040$ and $\overline{\eta} = 0.367 \pm 0.048$) which is consistent with the results of the SM analysis. The NP parameters in the B_d and B_s systems are also extracted from the fit and found in agreement with the SM expectations: $C_{B_d} = 0.81 \pm 0.12$, $\phi_{B_d} = (-3.4 \pm 3.6)^\circ$, $C_{B_s} = 0.87 \pm 0.09$ and $\phi_{B_s} = (-7 \pm 5)^\circ$. The two right plots in Figure 2 show the values still available for the NP parameters in the B_d system. Currently, the ratio of NP/SM amplitudes needs to be less than 25%



Figure 2: *Right*: $\overline{\rho} - \overline{\eta}$ plane showing the result of the NP fit. The black contours display the 68% and 95% probability regions selected by the given global fit. The 95% probability regions selected are also shown for those constraints not affected by NP in $\Delta F = 2$ transitions. (*Middle-right*) NP parameters in the B_d system. 68% (dark) and 95% (light) probability regions in the $\phi_{B_d} - C_{B_d}$ (*middle*), $\phi_d^{\rm NP} - \frac{A_d^{\rm NP}}{A_d^{\rm SM}}$ (*right*) planes in the NP fit. The red cross represents the SM expectation.

at 68% probability (42% at 95% prob.) in B_d mixing and less than 17% at 68% prob. (25% at 95%) in B_s mixing.

We now consider the most general effective Hamiltonian for $\Delta F = 2$ processes ($\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta F=2}$) in order to translate the current constraints into allowed ranges for the Wilson coefficients of $\mathcal{H}_{\rm eff}^{\Delta F=2}$. The full procedure and analysis details are given in [8]. These coefficients have the general form

$$C_i(\Lambda) = \frac{F_i L_i}{\Lambda^2} \tag{1}$$

where F_i is a function of the (complex) NP flavour couplings, L_i is a loop factor that is present in models with no tree-level Flavour Changing Neutral Currents (FCNC), and Λ is the scale of NP. For a generic strongly-interacting theory with arbitrary flavour structure, one expects $F_i \sim L_i \sim 1$ so that the allowed range for each of the $C_i(\Lambda)$ can be immediately translated into a lower bound on Λ.

The left plot in Figure 3 shows the results for the lower bounds on Λ coming from all the C_i's for all the sectors in the case of the general NP scenario, with arbitrary NP flavour structures ($|F_i| \sim 1$) with arbitrary phase and $L_i = 1$ corresponding to strongly-interacting and/or tree-level NP. To obtain the lower bound on Λ for loop-mediated contributions, one simply multiplies the bounds we quote in the following by $\alpha_s(\Lambda) \sim 0.1$ or by $\alpha_W \sim 0.03$. The right plot in Figure 3 shows the lower bounds on Λ in a Next-to-Minimal-Flavour-Violation (NMFV) scenario where the flavour structure is SM-like but with arbitrary phase relative to the SM.

We conclude that any model with strongly interacting NP and/or tree-level contributions is beyond the reach of direct searches at the LHC, while in the case of weak couplings the lower bounds on the NP scale are at the limit of the LHC reach. The



Figure 3: Summary of the 95% probability lower bound on the NP scale Λ for strongly-interacting NP in the general NP scenario (*left*) and in the NMFV scenario (*right*). Results from all the neutral meson systems are shown.

flavour sector provides the possibility of indirect searches that remain a fundamental tool to constrain (or detect) NP at scales higher that the LHC can provide.

References

[1] M. Ciuchini, G. D'Agostini, E. Franco, V. Lubicz, G. Martinelli, *et al.*, "*2000 CKM triangle analysis: A Critical review with updated experimental inputs and theoretical parameters*," *JHEP*, vol. 0107, p. 013, 2001.

[2] M. Bona *et al.*, "*The 2004 UTfit collaboration report on the status of the unitarity triangle in the standard model*," *JHEP*, vol. 0507, p. 028, 2005.

[3] Y. Amhis *et al.*, "Averages of B-Hadron, C-Hadron, and tau-lepton properties as of early 2012," 2012.

[4] S. Aoki, Y. Aoki, C. Bernard, T. Blum, G. Colangelo, *et al.*, "Review of lattice results concerning low-energy particle physics," *Eur.Phys.J.*, vol. C74, no. 9, p. 2890, 2014.

[5] M. Bona *et al.*, "Improved Determination of the CKM Angle alpha from B to pi pi decays," *Phys.Rev.*, vol. D76, p. 014015, 2007.

[6] The Belle Collaboration, "New Result on $B^0 \to \pi^0\pi^0$ with Full data," 2014. , presented at ICHEP 2014.

[7] V. Khachatryan *et al.*, "Observation of the rare $B_s^0 \to \mu^+\mu^-$ decay from the combined analysis of CMS and LHCb data," 2014.

[8] M. Bona *et al.*, "*Model-independent constraints on $\Delta F = 2$ operators and the scale of new physics*," *JHEP*, vol. 0803, p. 049, 2008.